

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

1

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.         | FILING DATE       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/700,612              | 11/04/2003        | Laurence B. Saidman  | NOR-1114            | 6511             |
| 37172                   | 7590 08/28/2006   | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| WOOD, HER<br>2700 CAREW | RRON & EVANS, LLF | GANEY, STEVEN J      |                     |                  |
| 441 VINE STI            | - +               | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |
| CINCINNATI              | , OH 45202        | 3752                 |                     |                  |

DATE MAILED: 08/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |  | Application   | n No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary  |  | 10/700,61   |   | SAIDMAN ET AL.  |  |  |  |
|  |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |
|  | ,  | Examiner  |   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this areas is a  |  | Steven J. (   | -   | 3752  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply   |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| WHIC<br>- External<br>after<br>- If NC<br>- Failu<br>Any   | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REID CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING INSIGN OF THE MAILING INSIGN OF THE MAILING INSIGN OF THE MAILING OF THE | DATE OF TH<br>R 1.136(a). In no eve<br>riod will apply and will<br>atute, cause the appli | IS COMMUNICATION  nt, however, may a reply be tim  expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI | I. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13   | 3 June 2006.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| ·  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 3)   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |   |   |   |  |  |  |
|  | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Dispositi  | ion of Claims  |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠  | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-11 and 13</u> is/are pending in the application.   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
|  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 5)⊠  | ∑) Claim(s) <u>9</u> is/are allowed.   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠  | Claim(s) <u>1,2,10,11 and 13</u> is/are rejected.  |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 7)🖂  | Claim(s) <u>4-8</u> is/are objected to.  |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 8)□  | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and  | d/or election re  | equirement.   |   |  |  |  |
| Applicati  | ion Papers   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 9)[  | The specification is objected to by the Exam   | niner.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 10)[   | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a   | accepted or b)[   | objected to by the E  | Examiner.   |  |  |  |
|  | Applicant may not request that any objection to t  | the drawing(s) b  | e held in abeyance. See   | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |  |  |
|  | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr  | rection is require  | ed if the drawing(s) is obj   | ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Priority (   | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice 3) Information   | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) the mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/   |   | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:                        |   |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/700,612

Art Unit: 3752

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the amendment filed on June 13, 2006, which has been fully considered in this action.

## Claim Objections

2. Claims 2 and 4-8 are objected to because of the following informalities: The preambles in claims 2 and 4-8, should be changed from "The liquid dispenser" to --The apparatus--- in order to be consistent with the preamble of claim 1 or the phrase --A liquid dispenser apparatus-should be inserted for the phrase "An apparatus" in claim 1. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gabryszewski.

Gabryszewski discloses an apparatus and method for dispensing liquid material comprising a dispensing module 14, a nozzle 18, an air valve 156 and a controller 152. As to claims 2 and 11, see figure 4, which shows an oscillating pattern.

Application/Control Number: 10/700,612 Page 3

Art Unit: 3752

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gabryszewski.

Gabryszewski discloses all the featured elements of the instant invention except for the

step of pulsing the pressurized air at a of 500 cps to approximately 2000 cps. Note in col. 12,

lines 288-59, where Gabryszewski, discloses that various pulsing frequencies and flow rates for

the pressurized air can be provided to provide the pattern desired. It would have been obvious to

one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the apparatus of

Gabryszewski pulse the air at the claimed rates, since Gabryszewski discloses that the pulse

frequency can be adjusted to provide the spray pattern desired.

# Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 9 is allowed.

8. Claims 4-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 10, 11 and 13 have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

### Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven J. Ganey whose telephone number is 571-272-4899. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00-5:00; M, Tu, W and Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on 571-272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

sjg 8/21/06 STEVEN J. GANEY PRIMARY EXAMINER